

Getting your research on the policy agenda – Overcoming common gaps in KT approaches

3rd Annual Applied Public Health Chairs Meeting
Ottawa, ON

Panel discussion | June 2017

Florence Morestin
National Collaborating Centre
for Healthy Public Policy



Centre de collaboration nationale
sur les politiques publiques et la santé
National Collaborating Centre
for Healthy Public Policy


*Institut national
de santé publique*

Québec 

Gap 1 – Not considering *all* the relevant actors

- Getting your research on *whose* policy agenda?
- Who makes the public policy decisions that your research could inform?


=== Take a broad view ===



(Contandriopoulos et al., 2010; Morestin, 2015; Smith, 2013a, 2013b)



Gap 1 – Not considering *all* the relevant actors



=> Getting your research on *whose* agenda?

– Relative power, feasibility, congruence, risks...


(Lindquist, 2001; Morestin, 2015, 2017; policy advisors, personal communications, Fall 2016)



Gap 2 – Not putting yourself in their shoes *enough*

- Get a grasp of their **agendas** and **contexts**
 - Organizations / individuals

Ongoing monitoring...



- ... to inform your KT strategy
 - Participate in **their processes**
 - Relate (as much as possible) to **their framings**

=> Respond to **their** needs

(Policy advisors, personal communications, Fall 2016; Roxon, 2017)



Centre de collaboration nationale
sur les politiques publiques et la santé
National Collaborating Centre
for Healthy Public Policy

Institut national
de santé publique
Québec 

Gap 3 – ***Not enough*** team work

- Collaboration to **refine** your KT strategy
 - Brainstorming: Your team + collaborators
 - => Diversity of ideas
 - Fresh perspectives of new team members
- Collaboration to **implement** your KT strategy
 - Other allies beyond your collaborators?
 - Outside of / within government
 - ⇒ Complementarity of roles

See “Relevant actors”

“Developing alliances across consumers, clinicians, advocates and researchers will always be very powerful. The same proposal from multiple groups gives your argument weight and depth”

(Nicola Roxon, former Minister for Health, Australia)

A few resources

- Enlightening resources about **how political circles view and use scientific evidence**
 - Haynes et al. (2011, 2012)
 - Katherine Smith's work (e.g., 2013a, 2013b, 2014)
 - Paul Cairney's blog <https://paulcairney.wordpress.com/>
 - Interview of a former Minister for Health (Roxon, 2017)
- **NCCHPP's Knowledge sharing and public policy project**
<http://www.ncchpp.ca/180/News.ccnpps>
Knowledge syntheses + sets of questions to guide development of KT strategy
Work in progress... more to come!
Contact: florence.morestin@inspq.qc.ca



References

- Contandriopoulos, D., Lemire, M., Denis, J.-L., & Tremblay, É. (2010). Knowledge exchange processes in organizations and policy arenas: A narrative systematic review of the literature. *Milbank Quarterly*, 88(4), 444–483. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2010.00608.x>
- Haynes, A. S., Derrick, G. E., Redman, S., Hall, W. D., Gillespie, J. A., Chapman, S., & Sturk, H. (2012). Identifying trustworthy experts: How do policymakers find and assess public health researchers worth consulting or collaborating with? *PLoS ONE*, 7(3): e32665, 1-8. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032665>
- Haynes, A. S., Gillespie, J. A., Derrick, G. E., Hall, W. D., Redman, S., Chapman, S., & Sturk, H. (2011). Galvanizers, guides, champions, and shields: The many ways that policymakers use public health researchers. *Milbank Quarterly*, 89(4), 564–598. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2011.00643.x>
- Lindquist, E. A. (2001). *Discerning policy influence: Framework for a strategic evaluation of IDRC-supported research*. Ottawa, Canada: International Development Research Centre. Retrieved from: <https://idl-bnc-idrc.dspacedirect.org/handle/10625/29252>
- Morestin, F. (2015). *Knowledge sharing and public policies: A representation of influence processes*. Montréal and Québec, Canada: National Collaborating Centre for Healthy Public Policy. Retrieved from: http://www.ncchpp.ca/181/Publications.ccnpps?id_article=1453
- Morestin, F. (2017). *The advisors of policy makers: Who are they, how do they handle scientific knowledge and what can we learn about how to share such knowledge with them?* Montréal and Québec, Canada: National Collaborating Centre for Healthy Public Policy. Retrieved from: http://www.ncchpp.ca/181/publications.ccnpps?id_article=1670
- Roxon, N. (2017). Interview with the Hon. Nicola Roxon: getting evidence into health policy. *Public Health Research & Practice*, 27(1):e2711701. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17061/phrp2711701>
- Smith, K. (2013a). Institutional filters: The translation and re-circulation of ideas about health inequalities within policy. *Policy & Politics*, 41(1), 81–100. <http://doi.org/10.1332/030557312X655413>
- Smith, K. (2013b). *Beyond evidence based policy in public health: The interplay of ideas*. Basingstoke : Palgrave Macmillan.
- Smith, K. (2014). The politics of ideas: The complex interplay of health inequalities research and policy. *Science & Public Policy*, 41(5), 561–574. <http://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/sct085>

